Report on issues discussed at the weekly Management Update meeting on January 27, 2014

Commissioners present: Commissioners Janet Carlson, Sam Brentano and Patti Milne

Others present: John Lattimer, Gloria Roy, Scott Norris, Joe Fennimore, Bruce Armstrong, Cynthia Granatir, Sergeant Craig Cunningham, Sara McDonald, Don Russo, Jeff Bickford, Cindy Schmitt, Julia Uravich, Alan Haley, Barb Young, Jason Myers, Walt Beglau, Jeff White, Tamra Goettsch, Jolene Kelley and Lynne Coburn as recorder.

Guest: Chuck Swank and Devan Esch, Grove, Mueller & Swank, P.C.; Todd Irvine, Mid-Valley Garbage and Recycling Association; Bob Anderson, Marion County Solid Waste Management Advisory Council.

INFORMATIONAL

FY 2012-13 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Chief Accountant Cynthia Granatir said the audit was completed in December and she is bringing the financial report to the board for review and approval. Chuck Swank of Grove, Mueller & Swank, PC said an unmodified opinion was placed on the financial report and the three-page letter to the board discusses new accounting pronouncements and estimates in the financial statements. He said there were some significant estimates in the financial statements and the most difficult was, "What is the value of the PERS asset?" Chief Administrative Officer John Lattimer asked that Mr. Swank discuss the PERS liabilities reporting issue. Mr. Swank said beginning June 30, 2015, PERS liabilities, in their entirety, need to be reported on a governmental entity's government-wide financial statements. He said some people believe this will have a significant negative impact on what shows as the county's financial position and PERS is hoping that the legislature will provide the money to do the actuarial evaluation for municipalities. He said other than whether the county is charged for the evaluation, it has no budgetary impact. Mr. Lattimer noted that doing the actuarial study will be expensive. Mr. Swank said PERS has estimated that cost at \$1 million. The good news is that the county is going to get the asset cleaned up and reduce its actuarial liability. Mr. Swank said the audit went smoothly, was filed on time, and has been sent to the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA). He added that he is preparing to finish the single audit (federal programs). Commissioner Milne referred to the accountant's three-page letter and requested specifics about "Deficiencies in internal control will be reported to management in a separate letter." Mr. Swank explained that auditors issue severity of internal control letters and that a control deficiency is the lowest level. He said it is not a requirement that a control deficiency be reported beyond the Finance Department; however, the county's Finance

Department always shares that information with the board. Commissioner Carlson said the letter also states: "The County's investments are recorded using amortized book value. Generally accepted accounting principles require investments to be recorded at fair market value." She asked if the county would be changing to fair market value and the impact of this particular finding. Mr. Swank said the finding does not affect budgetary accounting and the fair market value disclosure is only the government-wide statements. He said in those financial statements the requirement is to mark investments to fair market value; however, from an accounting standpoint that is not the way Marion County keeps its books. Ms. Granatir explained the volatility of adjusting the county's investments to fair market value on a monthly basis and that because the county holds almost all of its investments to maturity the Finance Department, along with the Treasurer's Office, decided that it would be more reliable to report earnings and keep the county's investments on the books at amortized costs. She said in previous years the difference has always been small and the auditor felt it did not need to be mentioned; however, this year the difference was large enough that staff had to consider whether or not an adjustment was needed. She said it was determined it was immaterial and staff did not make the adjustment; however, the difference was large enough that the auditor needed to mention it. Commissioner Carlson confirmed that the county's investments would continue to be recorded at amortized book value and asked how the difference would change in better economic times. Ms. Granatir explained that as the market continues to improve she would expect that difference to be less. She said bond investments are not as favorable right now and between purchase and maturity there is a little more fluctuation in their fair market value compared to amortized cost. Commissioner Carlson asked Ms. Granatir if she sees that as a concern. Ms. Granatir said she does not believe so and added that in future years she would expect that difference to be smaller again. She said it was unusual for it to be so large. She added that it is still a very small percentage of the county's total investments. Mr. Swank agreed.

<u>Medical Marijuana Facilities Options</u> <u>Marion County Public Safety Forum on Marijuana</u>

County Counsel Gloria Roy said the bill introduced by the Association of Oregon Counties (AOC) does not provide the county with an opt out option. She said Senate Bill 1531 (SB 1531) would allow the county to regulate or restrict operation of marijuana facilities, prohibit registration of medical marijuana facilities in unincorporated areas of Marion County, or regulate, restrict, or prohibit the storing or dispensing of marijuana by facilities legally authorized to store or dispense marijuana under the laws of the State of Oregon. She said if SB 1531 passes in substantially this form and is signed it would take effect March 1, 2014. She added that if the legislature were to legalize marijuana and license where it could be sold

SB 1531 would allow the county to prohibit that as well. Ms. Roy said a modified ban option was discussed because there was concern a ban would not be upheld. She said the option of a ban exemption permit was discussed with a number of stakeholders and is still being worked on. Ms. Roy presented a draft ordinance stating that Marion County is against the legalization of marijuana (Attachment A) and noted that it was drafted on the presumption that SB 1531 would pass. Commissioner Carlson said that the county also needs to plan if SB 1531 does not pass. Commissioner Milne agreed. Ms. Roy said she would have detailed findings and that it depends upon whether the county is looking at an outright ban or needs to have a modified process, but for now the county would reference this draft ordinance. Ms. Roy asked the commissioners to review the language to see if they agree and noted that the draft ordinance is a public safety type of ordinance and a clear statement that the board wants to ban the distribution of marijuana. Ms. Roy said page two of the draft ordinance would adopt a new chapter to the county code. If a bill passes it could be something broader than medical marijuana and that is why some items are in brackets as options. She added the county will adopt the findings regardless. Ms. Roy said the ordinance includes the three pieces in SB 1531 and the items in brackets are if the county has the route of having a ban exemption permit. Ms. Roy reviewed Sections 3, 3(B), 3(C), and 3(D) of the draft ordinance and said the board would still want to go forward with amending the county's zone code to prohibit uses that are not allowed under state or federal law. Ms. Roy reviewed sections 4 and 5 of the draft ordinance. She said she had a discussion with planning in terms of the mixed use zones. Ms. Roy reviewed section 6 of the draft ordinance. She said if SB 1531 passes the county could remove sections and have it as a straight out ban. She added that there are no vested rights and there is no grandfathering in of unlawful dispensaries. She said violations are done through the enforcement ordinance, but the county could get any other kind of relief available. Ms. Roy said if code enforcement came across a facility that was not licensed they would have to work with the Sheriff's Office. District Attorney Walt Beglau said he shares the idea that county counsel has put together with the general rule ban and a ban exemption is a good sound practical approach. It is embedded in the concept of this ordinance and would allow the county to play off of whatever the legislature does. Commissioner Milne said she appreciates that the Marion County Public Safety Coordinating Council (MCPSCC) is included in the ordinance as opposing the legalization of marijuana and the steering committee is meeting tomorrow to discuss the issue. She said the ordinance could carry a lot of weight with the legislature and asked how soon the final draft would be completed. Commissioner Milne said the Marion County Public Safety Forum on Marijuana will be on February 11 at 7:00 a.m. and explained that a survey was conducted by MCPSCC showed that the public's knowledge about marijuana is limited. Ms. Roy said if the county has to do a ban exemption permit if Public Works would be the

appropriate department to issue the permit. She said Legal would work with Public Works on the details and make strong recommendations and findings for the board. She said she has started the narrative, but there are a lot of pieces that have to be added including information from the District Attorney's Office, Sheriff's Office, and providing the board opportunities for its policy pieces in the outline of the findings. She said that would be the next step regardless of which way the county would go with the ordinance. The board determined that the ordinance would come before the board on February 19. Commissioner Brentano said there should be a procedure or documentation stating that the Board of Commissioners, Marion County Sheriff and others oppose the legalization of marijuana before the ordinance is approved and asked about the establishment of fines and that schools are not mentioned in the ordinance. He said the county does not need to have kids around marijuana any more than necessary. He said he would also like to address individual possession in the permit, who the dispensaries sell to and how much they are selling. Ms. Roy said MCPSCC is working on a resolution for the District Attorney and others to sign and the provision for schools will be included in the details. She added that the board might also consider public parks. Ms. Roy said as far as whom the dispensaries sell to and how much, that will be regulated by the state and is not something that the county should get involved in. Commission Carlson asked if it is in the criminal code. Ms. Roy said yes. Commissioner Brentano asked if it included age. Ms. Roy said it is either in the criminal code or the Oregon Medical Marijuana Act so that is preempted. She added that the fines are in the county's enforcement ordinance. District Attorney Walt Beglau said he would be willing to sign a resolution. Commissioner Milne asked that Community Services Director Tamra Goettsch discuss the survey results. Ms. Goettsch said that the 60 to 70 people who participated in the survey have large concerns about the increased availability of marijuana in the community. She said there are some people who see it as a revenue stream and helping law enforcement because law enforcement would not have to deal with the illegal activity around marijuana. Ms Goettsch said the county needs to do education in the community around the issue and the goal of this survey is to have information so that the board can address peoples' concerns.

Solid Waste Service Area Standards

Environmental Services Manager Jeff Bickford discussed a proposed amendment to the Marion County Solid Waste Management Ordinance. He said the proposed amendment deals with service area standards not addressed in the current ordinance that relate to commercial or multi-family properties that have one cubic yard or larger containers requiring a mechanical lift. He introduced Mid-Valley Garbage and Recycling Association General Manager Todd Irvine. Mr. Irvine explained that in certain areas it is difficult for truck drivers to safely access cubic yard or larger containers and that the proposed amendment is necessary to provide safe access to those

containers, reduce worker compensation claims, and preserve human health and personal property. He said Mid-Valley Garbage and Recycling Association is trying to develop some basic standards and the ultimate goal is to provide safe and courteous service. Mr. Bickford said the proposed amendment would only apply to new construction providing either garbage, yard debris or recycling containers a cubic yard or larger. He said Assistant Legal Counsel Scott Norris drafted the ordinance and the Solid Waste Management Advisory Council (SWMAC) unanimously passed a motion recommending that the Board of Commissioner adopt the proposed changes. He added that the proposed amendment would only affect a limited number of projects and is specific to the larger size containers requiring a mechanical lift, availability and access outside the city limits and UGB, which is generally where this is going to happen. Commissioner Brentano said he was behind this in the late 90s and this amendment would accomplish safety first for customers, collectors and property. He said another way to address the issues is with rates. The rates are set up assuming time and effort and when a driver is spending six times as long as normal at a pick up location the rate is no longer valid; however, establishing that rates could get complicated. The board agreed to have the proposed changes presented at a board session.

School Zones Update

Ms. Schmitt introduced Traffic Engineer Julia Uravich and Sergeant Craig Cunningham from the Sheriff's Office. Commissioner Carlson requested a list of the schools that have already had signs installed and the installation dates. Ms. Schmitt agreed and reviewed the Schools Under Further Review list (Attachment B). Commissioner Milne asked why schools are choosing to opt out. Ms. Schmitt said generally it is where there is a farm field between the school and the road, even though the school's property abuts the road. Other schools that are choosing to opt out do not believe they have a problem. She added that the schools emails are included in the information. Ms. Schmitt explained that staff does not recommend school zone signing at St. John Bosco High School due to the short length of zone, which is anticipated to result in a lack of driver compliance and proper enforceability. Sergeant Cunningham said the school is so far from the roadway that there are never any children walking or biking in the school zone. Ms. Schmitt said the St. John Bosco High School Board of Directors supports the signing and staff is bringing the information to the board for direction on this particular location.

Ms. Schmitt explained that staff does not recommend school zone signing at Holy Family Academy, as the driveway is located along the Wabash Road frontage. The horizontal curve on Howell Prairie Road presents operational concerns when combined with school signing. She said technically, Holy Family Academy's frontage is on a small piece of Wabash Road, but it is so close to the intersection that it is a subjective call. Ms.

Schmitt presented an aerial photo of the curve at Howell Prairie Road NE and Wasbash Road NE and explained that engineering is concerned about full posting of the school speed zone and drawing too much attention away from the mandated curve signing. She said one compromise that engineering has suggested is to install an advance pentagon sign further out to warn drivers that there is possible school activity ahead. Commissioner Milne agreed. There was discussion about the draft ordinance's language and it was determined that section 6 would be rewritten to provide clarity. Commissioner Carlson said she would like to have some discussion about flashers and how the county can make this an option for school districts. She said if a school superintendent opts in and then the signs are not placed exactly where the school wanted, how is the issue is resolved? Scott Norris said the board may want to have staff bring an order for each of those locations in order to memorialize a status quo so that there is a baseline going forward against this ordinance back drop. Commissioner Carlson agreed and said the county could mail a copy of the proposed order to the school district to make sure the county understood them correctly and that the school is still in agreement. There was additional discussion regarding the opt out language, opt out process, and reconciling the difference between what the school was requesting and what the county was recommending. Commissioner Carlson suggested a work session to resolve the issues. Commissioner Brentano requested that the issue of flashing lights be discussed at the work session. Ms. Schmitt said Public Works is working to install four sets of flashers at the following locations: Bethel Head Start, Buena Crest Community Action Head Start, Valley Inquiry Charter School and Aumsville Elementary School. She said these four are the higher speed roads where the flashers will have the biggest impact and no installations are planned after these four. Ms. Schmitt asked if Public Works should proceed with the installations. The board agreed.

Tax Foreclosed Real Property

Finance Director Jeff White presented aerial photos of properties ID #R68022 and ID #R68023. He explained that a house covers both properties and that previously the properties had gone into bank foreclosure. The bank then only sold one property to the current owner. In the meantime the other property went through the county's foreclosure process. He said by statute the county must sell the property it owns through the public auction process. Mr. White said the other issue is that the improved value should have been split between the two lots and was not. Assistant Legal Counsel Scott Norris explained that the bank re-recorded the trust deed and changed their security interest from both lots to one lot and then when the bank foreclosed on their security interest it was only the one lot. Mr. Norris said the county could convey an encroachment easement to the property owner to cover all the entire lot the county has foreclosed on to create a cloud on the title to discourage others from buying it. The board determined

that Finance would meet with the property owner and discuss the encroachment easement option.

Jeff White presented an aerial photo of property ID #R87526 and described a similar situation where two lots that were at one time sold together ended up with one lot being sold and the other going through the county's foreclosure process. Mr. White said staff has not talked to the owners; however, staff believes the owners of the property with the house are under the impression that they own both lots because someone it taking care of it. He said Finance will have a conversation with the owner, find out their situation and try to resolve the issue.

<u>Detroit Wastewater Project</u>

Economic Development Manager Don Russo said the City of Detroit has requested Marion County's assistance in pursuing a project to develop a shared wastewater treatment system. He said the project has applied to Oregon Solutions and will make a proposal to the Oregon Regional Solutions Mid-Valley Region requesting \$150,000 for an engineering study. He said state involvement is critical to earning interest at the federal level. Commissioner Carlson said she would like to review the application once it has been completed.

COMMISSIONERS' UPDATE

Commissioner Brentano said he attended an Associations of Oregon & California Counties (O&C) meeting in Gold Beach. He said he expected to receive more information with Senator Ron Wyden holding a hearing on the O&C Lands Act of 2013 on February 6. He said what he got out of the meeting is that there will finally be a bill and the hope is that Senator Wyden and Representative Peter DeFazio going into conference will produce a revised bill. He said he would ask Sheriff Jason Myers to fill him in on the public safety issues in the southern counties.

Commissioner Milne said she attended a Positive Aurora Airport Management (PAAM) group meeting where the group discussed funding for the construction of a new air traffic control tower and funding for personnel to work the tower. She said she would like to send another letter of support from the board.

Attachments: (A) Medical Marijuana Facilities draft ordinance

(B) Schools Under Further Review list

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

FOR MARION COUNTY, OREGON

in the Matter of Banning Medical)
Marijuana Dispensary Facilities and)
Facilities Authorized under State Law)
to Store or Dispense Marijuana in the)
Unincorporated Area of Marion County,)
and Declaring an Emergency)
ORDINANCE	NO.

WHEREAS, [Summary of public policy of Marion County against legalization of marijuana and incorporation of detailed findings attached as Exhibit A]; and,

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1531 has been introduced before the 2014 February Session of the Oregon State Legislature that would allow a county to regulate or restrict the operation of state registered medical marijuana facilities, prohibit the state registration of medical marijuana facilities, and regulate, restrict or prohibit the storing or dispensing of marijuana by a state authorized facility, within the areas subject to the jurisdiction of the county; [however, it is not currently known if the legislation will be enacted substantially as introduced, or signed by the Governor]; and,

WHEREAS, the Marion County Board of Commissioners, Marion County
Sheriff, Marion County District Attorney, and Marion County Public Safety Coordinating
Council oppose the legalization of marijuana and find that allowing the operation of
medical marijuana facilities or the storing or dispensing of marijuana to be contrary to
their oaths to uphold federal law, and detrimental to public peace, health and safety,

NOW THEREFORE,

Ordinance No.		DRAFT 01/26/14
Page 1	•	

THE MARION COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. TITLE

This ordinance adopts a new chapter to the county code and shall be know as the [medical] marijuana [dispensary] facilities ban ordinance of Marion County.

SECTION 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE

- A. The board adopts the findings attached to this ordinance as findings to support this chapter.
- B. The purpose of this ordinance is to enact a new chapter on the subject of [medical] marijuana [dispensary] facilities, which explicitly prohibits medical marijuana dispensary facilities, and facilities authorized under state law to store or dispense marijuana, in the unincorporated area of Marion County, [particularly in agricultural zones, and provides for a ban exemption permit for medical marijuana dispensary facilities in commercial, industrial or mixed use zones]. This prohibition will be enforced until such time as the manufacture and possession of marijuana [for medical purposes] is not prohibited under federal law.
- C. This chapter is not intended to regulate the possession, cultivation or use of medical marijuana at a registered marijuana grow site or by anyone who is a registry identification cardholder.

SECTION 3. <u>PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES</u>

A. It is unlawful to operate, use or allow the operation of a medical marijuana dispensary facility in the unincorporated area of Marion County [without first having obtained a ban exemption permit under this chapter].

Ordinance No.	DRAFT 01/26/14
Page 2	

- B. Registration of medical marijuana facilities under ORS 475.314 located or proposed to be located in the unincorporated area of Marion County is prohibited.
- C. It is unlawful to operate, use or allow the operation of a facility located in the unincorporated area of Marion County to store or dispense marijuana, even if that facility is authorized to do so under the laws of this state.
- D. It is unlawful to lease, rent or otherwise allow any medical marijuana dispensary facility, or the storage or dispensing of marijuana, in any building, structure, premises, location or land in the unincorporated area of Marion County [unless the medical marijuana dispensary facility business has a ban exemption permit].

[SECTION 4. USE NOT PERMITTED IN ANY AGRICULTURAL ZONE

The use of any building, structure, location, premises or land for a medical marijuana dispensary facility is not allowed in the Rural or Urban Zoning Codes, and specifically, medical marijuana dispensaries are not permitted use(s) in any agricultural zone.

[SECTION 5. <u>BAN EXPEMPTION PERMIT REQUIRED</u>

- A. <u>Commercial or industrial zoned land</u>. A medical marijuana dispensary facility may be located in commercial or industrial zones only if the business has first obtained a ban exemption permit.
- B. <u>Mixed use zoned land.</u> A medical marijuana dispensary facility may be located in a mixed use zone only if the nature of the mixed use zone is not primarily residential or agricultural uses, and if the business has first obtained a ban exemption permit.]

[SECTION 6. APPLICATION FOR BAN EXEMPTION PERMIT

- A. A person or entity seeking a ban exemption permit shall submit an application to the county on forms provided by the county. At the time of application, applicant shall pay a nonrefundable application fee to cover the costs incurred by the county in processing the application.
- B. The applicant shall provide the following information on the application form and other required information in support of the application:
 - 1. [list requirements]...]

SECTION 7. NO VESTED OR NONCONFORMING RIGHTS

This Chapter prohibits medical marijuana dispensary facilities, the state registration of medical marijuana facilities, and other facilities for the storing or dispensing of marijuana in the unincorporated area of Marion County. Neither this Chapter nor any other provision of the Marion County Code, or any action, failure to act, statement, representation, certificate, approval, or permit issued shall create, confer or convey any vested or nonconforming right or benefit regarding any medical marijuana business, medical marijuana dispensary facility or other facility authorized by the state to store or dispense marijuana that is prohibited by this Chapter.

SECTION 8. <u>VIOLATIONS</u>

Any violations of this Chapter may be enforced including but not limited to as set forth in the Enforcement Ordinance, and by seeking administrative, injunctive, or other judicial relief. In addition, violations of this Chapter may be deemed a public nuisance and may be abated by the county as a public nuisance.

Ordinance No.	 DRAFT 01/26/14
Page 4	

SECTION 9. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Should any section of this ordinance be held unlawful or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction that decision shall apply only to the specific section, or portion thereof, directly specified in the decision. All other sections or portions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 10. <u>DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY</u>

This ordinance being necessary for the preservation of the health, safety, and welfare of the community, an emergency is declared to exist and this ordinance will take effect immediately upon passage [or March 1, 2014 if authorized by new legislation].

Adopted this	day of	, 2014, at Salem, Oregon.
	MARION COUNTY BO	OARD OF COMMISSIONERS
	Chair	
	Recording Secretary	

Schools Under Further Review Updated on 01/22/14 at 9:30 a.m. by JKU

	7	7		R Oa +	W ₂	7,	₩.	T	3	D	5	
Starr Street	N. 1st Avenue	N. 1st Avenue	N. 1st Street	Howell Prairie Road/Wabash Road	Waconda Road NE	71st Avenue NE	Waconda Road NE	River Road NE	Maranatha Court	Delaney Road SE	Cordon Road NE	Road Name
25	30	8	45	55	55	BR	55	55	BR	55	55	Speed
Sublimity Elementary School	Regis High School	Stayton Elementary School	Willamette Valley Baptist School	Holy Family Academy	Holy Family Academy	OASIS Academy at Pioneer School	OASIS Academy at Pioneer School	St. John Bosco High School	Crosshill Christian School South Campus	Crosshill Christian School South Campus	Chávez Elementary School	Corresponding School
North Santiam	Private	North Santiam	Private	Private	Private	WESD	WESD	Private	Private	Private	Salem- Keizer	School District
Sublimity	Stayton	Stayton	Aumsville	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	Unincorp.	City
£	9-12	κ -ω	K-12	&	₹-8	- -	- 8	9-12	PreK-12	PreK-12	K-5	Grades
387	171	457	65	50	50	24 max	24 max	25	178	178	705	Enrollment
Parent/Bus/Walk	Parent/Self/Bus/ Walk	Parent/Bus/Walk	Mostly parent, a few walk/bike	Parent	Parent	Bus/Parent	Bus/Parent	Parent/Self	Parent	Parent	Parent/Bus/Walk	Student Transportation Mode
North Santiam SD and Parent/Bus/Walk principal do not support 7AM-5PM coverage	No existing safety concerns.	North Santiam School District does not support 7AM-5PM coverage	No existing safety concerns, school zoning perspective TBD	School Board supports school zone signing	School Board supports opt-out	Program director supports opt-out	Program director and staff support school zone signing	School Board and principal support school zone signing on River Road	School supports opt-out	School supports opt-out	Parent/Bus/Walk SKSD supports opt-out	School Perspective
t see as ss school	Stayton Police does not support 7AM-5PM school zone coverage	Stayton Police does not support 7AM-5PM school zone coverage	ТВО	MCSO supports opt-out due to the roadway alignment and enforcement difficulties	MCSO supports opt-out	MCSO would support a school zone if the program does not wish to opt-out	MCSO would support a school zone if the program does not wish to opt-out	MCSO supports opt-out due to compliance and enforcement difficulties associated with a short school speed zone	MCSO supports opt-out unless the school sees as necessary	MCSO supports opt-out	MCSO supports opt-out	Law Enforcement Perspective
es not	City of Stayton Public Works does not support 7AM-5PM coverage	City of Stayton Public Works does not support 7AM-SPM coverage	City Administrator does not think school zone is needed at this time	Engineering staff does not recommend school zone signing, as the driveway is located along the Wabash Road frontage. The horizontal curve on Howell Prairie Road presents operational concerns when combined with school signing.	Engineering staff supports No action taken at this opt-out time	Engineering staff supports No action taken at this opt-out time	N/A	Engineering staff does not recommend school zone signing due to the short length of zone, which is anticipated to result in a lack of driver compliance and proper enforceability	Engineering staff supports No action taken at this opt-out time	Engineering staff supports No action taken at this opt-out time		County Engineering/Other Perspective (if applicable)
No action taken at this time	No action taken at this time	No action taken at this time	No action taken at this time	Review with BOC at 01/27/14 Management Update	No action taken at this time	No action taken at this time	School speed zone installed on 12/14/13	Review with BOC at 01/27/14 Management Update	No action taken at this time	No action taken at this time	No ac	Current Status