

PIER Program - Infrastructure Project Description Form

Version 1.3

Guidance documents and templates:

- PIER Policy Manual
- <u>Project Schedule Template</u>
- Budget Form
- All Recovery Assistance Received Table

Instructions for project leads completing the form for Selection Committee review:

- Please complete all **fields** except for the Project Selection questions. (If any other field is not applicable or cannot be completed, please explain why).
- The Selection Committee may request or require responses to additional questions or additional documentation.
- In several areas, **attachments** are requested. The editable Project Schedule Template and Budget Form are intended to help gather critical pieces of information. For the sake of clarity, you may wish to provide information in a different format, edit the templates, and/or provide supplemental information.
- In some cases, OHCS or the Selection Committee may need to follow up with questions.
- Application must be signed by an executive of the proposed project lead (e.g., city/county administrator or agency executive director).
- Forms should be submitted by email to the appropriate Selection Committee.
- Selection Committee's primary contact will forward any approved projects to OHCS for review.

Instructions for Selection Committees:

- Once a project has been selected by the Selection Committee, the Selection Committee's designated primary contact submits the completed Project Description Form. Note: The selection committee must provide the answers to the Project Selection questions and attach relevant documentation.
- Ensure that appropriate documentation of the required public hearing is attached. **Either**:
 - Record of a Public Hearing conducted by the project lead or
 - Record of a Public Hearing if that requirement was fulfilled by the Selection Committee.
- Please submit all documents via email to <u>pier@hcs.oregon.gov;</u> julie.lovrien@hcs.oregon.gov; and <u>alex.j.campbell@hcs.oregon.gov</u>.

Project Lead Information						
Project Title:	Gates Wastewater Collection - Project					
Project Lead Organization Name:	Marion County Public Works					
Primary Contact Name and Title:	Chris Einmo, Senior Project Manager					
Phone Number:	(503) 566-4119					
Email address:	<u>Ceinmo@co.marion.or.us</u>					
Mailing Address:	5155 Silverton Road NE Salem, OR 97305					
FEIN#:						
UEI* #:						
 *The Unique Entity ID (UEI) is not required on this form. However, prior to execution of a grant agreement, a UEI is required. If you don't yet have a UEI, please begin the process to have one assigned ASAP, as it can take some time to receive one. On April 4, 2022, the UEI used across the federal government changed from the DUNS Number to the Unique Entity ID (generated by SAM.gov). The UEI is a 12-character alphanumeric ID assigned to an entity by SAM.gov. Entity registration, searching, and data entry in SAM.gov now require use of the new UEI. Existing registered entities can find their UEI by following instructions on the Federal Service Desk website. New entities can get their UEI at SAM.gov and, if required, complete an entity registration. 						
Project Description						
Select each county that your project benefits . Only counties eligible for CDBG-DR funds are listed below (check all that apply).						
ClackamasDouglas	□ Jackson □ Lane ⊠Linn □ Klamath □ Lincoln ⊠Marion					

Project Summary (answer the questions below)

1. Project purpose, area of benefit, and a description of the proposed activity:

In 2020, the North Santiam Canyon was devastated by the Beachie Creek and Lionshead fires. The fires destroyed hundreds of homes and businesses, causing catastrophic economic damage to the Canyon communities, especially the City of Gates.

Reconstruction and economic recovery efforts were further hindered by the global effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, the lack of sewer infrastructure, and the regulatory environment for new septic systems to serve individual homes and the community.

The city of Gates currently does not maintain a domestic sewage system, posing a major challenge for future development and recovery efforts.

To address this deficiency, a Wastewater Master Plan, prepared by the engineering firm Keller and Associates, was published in 2019 to construct a new sewer system in the Canyon.

The immediate plan is for the two phased process. This project, phase II will create a wastewater connection system for the City of Gates, including all necessary infrastructure to create an intercity system.

2. Project delivery summary (i.e., how the work will be done and those responsible for or involved in completing the project):

The project will be delivered under the Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC) delivery method as described under OAR 137-049-0690 and the ODOT CM/GC Manual. Following competitive solicitation processes, Marion County selected an Engineer and CM/GC and has executed contracts with each to provide pre-construction services during the design and permitting phase, following this project delivery model. The project is being actively designed and permitted in accordance with applicable state and federal rules at the time of this application. Once the Engineer has produced designs of adequate completeness to negotiate construction delivery, the County will execute a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) amendment with the CM/GC and obligate all necessary funds towards the construction, special inspections, and construction engineering. This GMP amendment is expected to occur on or about December of 2024.

3. State the project's anticipated outcomes and proposed performance measures. Describe the number and nature of the expected beneficiaries:

The Project will create new sewer connections for the City of Gates to a modern, state-of-the-art wastewater treatment plant, safeguarding the water quality of the North Santiam River for those who rely on it for recreation, business, and residential use.

Performance will initially be measured by the successful commissioning of the collection systems, which will be evaluated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality under the state's NPDES/WPCF Water Quality Permitting program. Ongoing reporting on the plant's operation will be quantified through routine water quality monitoring, required as a condition of the operating permit(s).

Immediate beneficiaries include over every residential and commercial address in Gates that will receive modern sewer service, allowing for rebuilding and continued growth necessary for a thriving community. The project will also enable economic development and increased housing inventory, as previously undevelopable areas within Gates will be opened for growth. Most importantly, for those households unable to build back now due to regulatory constraints for septic, it will allow them to return to their community and begin the healing journey.

Beyond Gates, maintaining the high quality of the North Santiam River has farreaching benefits.

The river serves as the primary water supply for Salem and Stayton, supporting a combined population of well over 100,000 residents, along with businesses, industries, and government institutions. Preserving the river's high water quality will continue to enhance Oregon's tourism industry, as the river is a vital destination for water recreation, including fishing, rafting, and boating

Unmet needs and alignment with state and local priorities:

1. State the unmet need(s) that will be addressed by the completion of this project:

Like other cities and areas within the Santiam Canyon, Gates, is unable to redevelop to pre-fire conditions due to the small property lots, which cannot accommodate modern requirements for onsite wastewater (septic) systems due to the prohibitively large drainfield requirements outlined in OAR. As a result, post-fire economic recovery and continued growth within Gates remain at a standstill until new domestic sewage facilities can be delivered. 2. Describe how the activity will align with other planned federal, state, or local capital improvements and infrastructure development efforts, and/or other investments.

Linn County has directed via board order that all of the funding they received from CDBG-DR PIER and AHD are to go to this project.

This project will also align with the housing bills of the Oregon Legislature's 2024 session (such as SB 1530 and SB 1537) by providing critical infrastructure which is prerequisite for future investment in housing in the region.

Furthermore, this project aligns with a wide range of local efforts. Currently, Marion County is in the process of developing a property in Mill City for future housing development, and is rebuilding homes throughout the Canyon through the Wildfire Recovery Housing Program. Marion County is also assisting Gates in their downtown visioning efforts paired with small business loans to help revitalize downtown Gates, among other projects.

3. Analyze the project's effects on Members of Protected Classes, HUD-identified Vulnerable Populations, and Historically Underserved Communities:

According to the most recent HUD data (2020), Gates qualifies under the LMI area benefit, with 62.30% of the population as LMI. Therefore, this project has a high proportional benefit to LMI individuals.

Furthermore, Gates has a disproportionately high percentage of the population that identify as American Indian or Alaska Native. According to the 2022 ACS data, 9.3% of Gates identify as American Indian or Alaska Native, which is nearly twice as high of a percentage as Marion County (5%) or Linn County (4.4%) as a whole. Therefore, this project disproportionately benefits this historically marginalized population.

Gates also has a higher median age (57.2) than Marion County as a whole (37), with 29% of the population aged 65 or older, compared to only 16% of Marion County's population aged 65 or older. Therefore, this project has a disproportionate benefit to the older generation, a group that is often underserved.

Cost Reasonableness and Justification:

1. Describe the cost evaluation process and describe how, if applicable, your project will employ adaptable and reliable technologies to prevent premature obsolescence of infrastructure:

The cost evaluation process is conducted utilizing a negotiated Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP). The County has retained a 3rd-party Independent Cost Estimator (ICE) to review all construction prices. The CM/GC is required to solicit competitive bids for each major element of the work and to provide full documentation of the procurement process and cost justification all trades work, overhead, general conditions which will be evaluated by the County and by the ICE.

2. Explain the method used to determine project funding requirements. (Include qualifications of whoever completed the cost estimate.):

Cost estimates were prepared under the Wastewater Master Plan by Keller Associates, a lead firm in the region in the water and wastewater engineering industry with over 150 registered professionals. Supervised by Peter Olsen, P.E. Keller Associates is actively preparing the Wastewater Facility Plan Study (WWFPS), a concept-design level engineering document, which will include updated cost estimates resulting from the latest design changes, value engineering, and current market prices. The costs in this application represent the best available information and will be updated with the WWFPS when sealed and submitted to DEQ.

Resiliency and Long-Term Recovery:

1. Does the project mitigate loss of life or property in the face of current and future natural hazards? If so, how?

The Project will deliver a facility that is critical to protecting the water quality of the North Santiam River and the public health of drinking water consumers and of those who recreate in or on the river. Contamination of the water supply in the absence of such protection can result in widespread loss of life and economic damage due to ingestion and skin contact of harmful waterborne and water-related microorganisms.

2. Describe any consultations or risk assessment that led to the selection of the project (for projects that are primarily mitigation-focused):

Although this project has an element of mitigation to it, mitigation is not the primary purpose of the project.

3. Explain how the project will support restoration of infrastructure and related long-term recovery needs within historically underserved communities who have lacked adequate investments in housing, transportation, water, and wastewater infrastructure prior to the disaster:

The lack of investment in sewer infrastructure in these communities has been a constant impediment to development. In some cities over half of the lots are too small to accommodate a septic drainfield of adequate size as required under Oregon Revised Statutes, leading to underutilization of the developable properties. Substantial projects to increase the housing inventory are on hold pending access to a sewer system because of the lack of usable sewer treatment capacity. Furthermore, not all lots that had septic systems pre-fire can accommodate a replacement septic system with larger drainfield requirements, which blocks residents from rebuilding.

This project addresses the present and historical lack of adequate wastewater facilities for the City of Gates.

4. How will the project be designed and constructed to withstand chronic stresses and extreme disruptive events?

The Engineer shall follow all relevant state design standards including seismic analysis and floodplain examination to ensure that the project is resilient to reasonably expected natural disasters. Every effort will be made during the commissioning phase to instruct the operators in how to maintain plant operations under extreme disruptive events and to develop preventative maintenance procedures such that events which disrupt transportations and supply chains do not result in interruptions of plant operations.

 Please list any and all procurement necessary for the project (professional services, technical design/engineering services, construction, etc.) Note that procurement follows 2 CFR 200. Refer to <u>Buying Right CDBG-DR and</u> <u>Procurement: A Guide to Recovery (hudexchange.info)</u>.

Competitive solicitation processes included an Engineer and CM/GC. Procurement of the Engineer was initiated with an RFP posted on May 20, 2022. Following a competitive selection process, a contract was executed with Keller Associates on September 13, 2022.

For the selection of a CM/GC, the Marion County Board of Commissioners held a public hearing which authorized the use of alternative procurement on March 15, 2023. Procurement began with an RFP posted on May 3, 2023. Following a competitive selection process, the County executed a contract with Slayden Constructors, Inc., on September 13, 2023.

The CM/GC will conduct and provide documentation of competitive procurement of subcontracted trade and material/equipment purchases to the County during construction. All procurement shall be conducted in full compliance of 2 CFR 200.

Maintenance and Operations:

Describe the future budget structures (including dedicated income streams) to fund maintenance and operation of the new asset. Include target operating reserves, anticipated insurance costs, and any other steps necessary to preserve useful function of the asset in case of future disaster:

The ongoing maintenance and operation of the asset will be paid for by users' sewer rates. The North Santiam Sewer Authority (NSSA -

https://www.northsantiamsewer.net/) will work with the County and Engineer to develop annual operation, maintenance and administration and insurance cost projections.

NSSA is an ORS 190 intergovernmental entity that was formed by the four cities to develop budget structures for the maintenance and operation. NSSA acting through its legal counsel has prepared and released a draft IGA which is under deliberation which outlines the maintenance and operation of the new asset. In this proposed agreement, Mill City, Gates, Detroit, and Idanha will own the assets within their jurisdictional boundaries and participate in NSSA as an advisory, advocacy and rate-making entity.

National Objective

All projects funded with CDBG-DR must meet the Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective or the Urgent Need National Objective. OHCS will work with project leads to qualify projects for LMI, as feasible.

Low and Moderate Income (LMI) National Objective: Project or program has a limited clientele or a defined service area that is primarily residential, and at least 51% of the households within that service area are LMI.¹

Please describe whether you believe the project is likely to be able to qualify under the LMI National Objective. Define the project or program service area, confirm the service area is primarily residential, and then determine if the service area is at least 51% LMI. LMI area benefit can be demonstrated through multiple methods, including use of map data/CSV spreadsheet described below or via survey. If planning to use a survey methodology, project leads should consult with OHCS first to ensure the process is methodologically sound.

Urgent Need: Project or program meets unmet needs that have a particular urgency, including addressing existing conditions that pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community. Project leads must also describe how the project responds to the disaster-related impact as described in the CDBG-DR impact and unmet needs assessment.

Please describe, if an LMI national objective cannot be demonstrated, how the project will address an urgent need:

According to the most recent HUD data (2020), Gates with 62.30% of the population as LMI. Therefore, this project has a high proportional benefit to LMI individuals.

In the wake of the 2020 Labor Day wildfires, the ongoing need for sewer infrastructure became an imminent threat to the safety and welfare of all members of public that utilize the North Santiam River. Existing septic systems throughout the fire-damaged areas of Gates and Detroit were damaged beyond their ability to function, in some

¹ Demonstrating LMI benefit

Demonstrate area benefit to low-and moderate-income persons by providing a CSV spreadsheet from HUDs LMISD website. Applicants are required to define the area that will benefit from the proposed project. The area must be located on HUD's LMI mapping application and the LMI income data must be submitted with this project description form.

Visit the HUD FY 2020 ACS 5-year 2011-2015 Low and Moderate-Income summary data page at <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/acs-low-mod-summary-data/</u>. Follow the instructions detailed in the program guidelines to complete a CSV spreadsheet file (usually named "features.csv" when downloaded from the HUD website) that lists the census tracts and block groups located in the area of benefit. **If a .CSV file is not submitted, your form will be deemed incomplete. Please do not edit or alter the spreadsheet in anyway.** Attach the CSV file to the project description form.

cases literally melting the above-ground metal appurtenances due to the extreme heat of the fire. Many of the residences that lost septic systems in the fire are situation on small lots which cannot accommodate modern septic requirements, due to the prohibitively large drainfield requirements as per OAR.

Lacking a means to safely treat domestic sewage has placed the water quality of the North Santiam River in jeopardy, and stalled post-fire economic recovery and continued growth within the Canyon.

Project Selection & Citizen Participation

Public Hearing: Project leads are required to post or publish public notice and receive public input on the project. This can be done in coordination with the Selection Committee (prior to, subsequent to, or concurrent with) the Selection Committee's decision. It must be completed prior to OHCS review/approval of the Project Description Form.

At the public hearing, the following information should be covered. Each point must be reflected in the published public hearing minutes:

- The nature of the proposed activities.
- How the need for the proposed activities was identified.
- How the proposed activities will be funded and sources of funds.
- Requested amount of federal funds.
- Estimated portion of federal funds that will benefit persons of low- to moderate-income families (if applicable).
- Where the proposed activities will be conducted.
- Plans to minimize displacement of persons and businesses as a result of the funded activity.
- Plans to assist persons actually displaced (if applicable).
- Date the project form will be submitted to the selection committees.

Project description forms will not be complete and ready for OHCS review until these requirements are fulfilled.

Submit an attachment(s) verifying that public notice was provided and that the public hearing included all the above required elements and any public comments received.

See attached sign in sheets and public comments from open houses held October 25 and 26, 2023. A summary of the information from the open house is available to the public at the following address: <u>https://vimeo.com/911299147</u>.

Additional documentation to be added once public hearing is held on proposed project as a PIER project specifically.

Project Selection:
 Describe the process by which the Selection Committee came to the decision to select this project. Include any solicitation of projects, review or scoring of projects, or other activities that informed the decision. (May include processes that took place prior to establishment of the PIER program.)
To be added if approved by Selection Committee.
 Attach record of the Selection Committee decision (e.g., meeting notes). Include which members supported the project and how (in person voting or via written communication; attach any written approvals).
To be added if approved by Selection Committee.
Implementation Plan
The state is required to expend all CDBG-DR assistance by Q1 2029. To ensure all projects are complete and meet the national objective by that expenditure deadline, all project leads must complete construction or activities and close out their projects in 2028 at the latest.
 Provide a schedule for the entire grant period that organizes work into milestones and tasks. Please allow time for environmental reviews and permitting. You may use the provided Project Schedule Template, or you may attach your own schedule document.
See attached Project Schedule, "PIER-Project-Schedule-NSCSP 4-18-24".
2. Provide an overview of the project management approach. Include staff members and/or contractors to be selected that will be responsible for project management and any other relevant project delivery work. Include position descriptions for any additional staff that will be hired.

Marion County Public Works will act in the role as Owner and provide the overall project oversight and contracting. The Owner will utilize its ARPA resources for inhouse staff to deliver the project; no staff impacts to the PIER funding are anticipated. The CM/GC project delivery model will be utilized wherein the Engineer and CM/GC both act as contract project managers to direct a wide range of consulting services. The scope of project management and related project delivery work is divided accordingly as described below.

Owner project management: procurement, contract administration and reporting, funding acquisition, legal services, overall project direction. Owner's Project Manager: Chris Einmo, Marion County Owner's Project Executive: Brian Nicholas, Marion County

Engineer project management scope: design, permitting, surveying, environmental/cultural, inspections, construction engineering, independent cost estimation/

Consultant Project Manager: Peter Olsen, Keller Associates Consultant Project Engineer: Pamela Villareal, Keller Associates

CM/GC project management scope: construction management and procurement, cost estimating, field coordination, commissioning, initial operations and training of City staff.

CM/GC Project Executive: Tom Paul, Slayden Constructors, Inc.

CM/GC Project Manager: Jeffrey Wall, Slayden Constructors, Inc.

3. Describe the parties responsible for the ongoing maintenance and operations of the infrastructure.

The infrastructure built under this project will be owned by the local communities which it serves. A draft IGA which has been circulated by the North Santiam Sewer Authority, to which the communities have verbally assented their intent to follow, describes the following ownership:

Mill City – own, operate and maintain the new water pollution control facility (treatment plant), and the existing Mill City sewer collection system

Gates – own, operate and maintain the new Gates sewer collection system, pumping station, and pipeline to Mill City

As part of the commission phase where the facility is brought online, Marion County will act as the temporary owner and operator so that its contractor Slayden Constructors, Inc. will bring the new facilities into operation and make all necessary operational adjustments while training the local staff. Slayden Constructors, Inc. during the competitive CM/GC process demonstrated a full team of qualified wastewater operations staff and experience bringing new facilities into operations.

Budget

CDBG-DR Infrastructure Program Project Budget Instructions

This template is customizable to fit the budget proposal for your project. Feel free to edit left-hand segments and add comments when needed. This is intended to be a high-level overview of uses and sources for the project. A detailed cost estimate is also required to be attached with this application.

If a section does not have enough cells for the category that you are working on, you can add cells by highlighting a complete row and right-clicking. A dialogue box will appear that permits you to add a row of cells. Click "Insert" and then select either "Insert Above" or "Insert Below," depending on where you would like the new row to be placed. The new row will appear above or below the row you highlighted. For example, if an activity has multiple non-CDBG sources, please add an additional row.

On the left-hand side of the template, there is a list of major project items. You may edit each of these areas to fit your proposed budget plan.

List project funding sources in the "Sources of Other Funds" category. Disclose the amount you requested or expect to receive. List the status of funding for all sources. Feel free to elaborate on other funds or funding plans in an attached narrative.

You can use the right-side comments column to elaborate on budgeted items as needed.

Ensure your budget is reasonable, appropriate, and accurate. Ensure all budgeted items are consistent with the project description and tasks. Ensure there is no Duplication of Benefits (DOB). All funds identified for use on your project must be fully disclosed and detailed to ensure budget accuracy and that DOB does not occur.

	C	BG-DR PIER	Infrastruc	ture Program	n Project Budge	t
Activity	CDBG-DR	Other non- CDBG-DR Funds	Source of Other Funds*	Total Funds (CDBG-DR and Other)	Status of Funding (secured, requested, committed)	Comments
Design/Planning	Х	Х	ARPA	\$2,690,000	Partially Secured	SR2240 via OBDD
Environmental Review		Х	ARPA	\$300,000	Secured	SR2240 via OBDD
Land Acquisition	Х			\$250,000	Requested	
Permitting	Х			\$100,000	Requested	
Pre-Construction CM/GC Services	Х			\$478,477	Requested	
Construction	Х			\$26,907,647	Requested	
Construction Management	Х			\$2,682,692	Requested	
Program Activity Delivery (Ex: file management, reimbursement requests)	Х			\$425,000	Requested	
Inspections	Х			\$120,000	Requested	
Construction Engineering	Х			\$875,000	Requested	
Utility Connection Fees	Х			\$45,000	Requested	
Totals:				\$34,873,816		Costs per the latest draft Wastewater Facility Plan

* All funds identified for use on your project must be fully disclosed and detailed to ensure budget accuracy and no ^{DOB}. Show the sources and amounts of other funds needed to complete the project below, including local funds and grants from other agencies. Any anticipated or committed funds must also be included.

Complete the table below by listing all the funding assistance received to date for the system or systems included in the funding request. This is funding received in response to the 2020 Labor Day fires. (There is not a DOB if other funding sources are paying for an aspect or portion of the project that is not requested for CDBG-DR funding.)

All Recovery Assistance Received						
Source of Funding [Who is providing the assistance]	Amount of Assistance	Purpose of the Assistance [What costs are covered?]	Is there any duplication of benefits (DOB)?			
FEMA Public Assistance	\$0	NA	YesNo			
US Army Corp of Engineers*	\$O	NA	YesNo			
FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program	\$0	NA	YesNo			
Hazard Insurance Proceeds	\$O	NA	YesNo			
American Rescue Plan Act	\$40,000,000	A small portion used in Gates for Design/Planning, Environmental,	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
State Funding	\$0	NA	YesNo			
Other [fill in]			YesNo			
Other [fill in]			YesNo			

Non-Supplanting of Funds Certification

Federal funds must be used to supplement existing funds for program activities and must not replace those funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose.

Supplanting shall be the subject of application review, post-award monitoring, and audit. If there is a potential presence of supplanting, the applicant or grantee will be required to supply documentation demonstrating that the reduction in non-Federal resources occurred for reasons other than the receipt or expected receipt of Federal funds.

We acknowledge and certify that any CDBG-DR funds awarded shall be used to supplement existing funds for program activities and will not replace (supplant) nonfederal funds that have been appropriated for the purposes and goals of the grant.

We acknowledge and understand that supplanting violations may result in a range of penalties, including but not limited to suspension of future funds under this program, suspension or debarment from federal grants, recoupment of monies provided under CDBG-DR, and civil and/or criminal penalties.

⊠ Yes □ No

FEMA PA or U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Funding

Per the FR Notice (87 FR 31636): "To comply with the statutory requirement in the Appropriations Act, grantees shall not use CDBG–DR funds for activities reimbursable by or for which funds are made available by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Grantees must verify whether FEMA or USACE funds are available prior to awarding CDBG–DR funds to specific activities or beneficiaries. Grantees may use CDBG–DR funds as the non-Federal match."

	Explanation Statement:
Please describe why the project	Scope of the project was not reimbursable under
was not eligible for FEMA PA or	FEMA or USACE funds and/or such funding
US. Army funding or why the	opportunities were not available within the
project sponsor did not apply for	timeframe required to meet project delivery
such funding.	needs.

Compliance

According to 87 FR 6364, February 3, 2022, the state shall make reviews and audits, including on-site reviews of any project leads, as may be necessary or appropriate to meet the requirements of Section 104(e)(2) of the HCDA, as amended, and as modified by the Consolidated Notice. In the case of noncompliance with these requirements, the state shall take such actions as may be appropriate to prevent a continuance of the deficiency, mitigate any adverse effects or consequences, and prevent a recurrence. The state shall establish remedies for noncompliance by any project leads, designated public agencies, or local governments.

Can you certify to comply with state and federal register regulations as outlined in 87 FR 6364?

🗵 Yes

🛛 No

Please be advised that by answering "no" to this question, your submission will not be considered eligible for the PIER program.

87 FR 6364, February 3, 2022, is published online at <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/02/03/2022-02209/allocations-for-</u>community-development-block-grant-disaster-recovery-and-implementation-of-the.

Signature/Certification

On behalf of the project lead organization, I certify that staff, contractors, vendors, and community partners of our proposed project:

- Will comply with all HUD and Oregon requirements in the administration of the proposed CDBG-DR-funded activities;
- Will work in cooperation with OHCS or designated subrecipient under a grant agreement that provides the pathway for successful CDBG-DR program(s) and/or project(s) and;
- Will certify that all information submitted in this Project Description Form is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Name and Title:

Signature: _____

Date Submitted: _____

Attachment checklist

- National Objective Documentation
- Public Hearing & Notice Documentation
- Project Selection Record
- Citizen Participation Plan and Language Access Plan (or statement adopting state policy)
- Supporting documentation such as damage reports, economic studies, public documents that support or identify the project, etc.
- Latest Audited Financial Statement
- Detailed cost estimates that reflect contracted work and/or work conducted by internal staff
- Project Schedule