SMG Meeting Minutes September 13, 2010

Present:

Dean Andretta, MPCHP Gary Heard, MCHD Tomoko Gersch, Clear Paths Jim Russell, BCN Renee Hancock, BRS Linda Matthias, Consumer Advocate Cary Moller, MCHD CAPS Scott Smith, MCHD CAPS Dwight Bowles, MCHD CAPS Anna Cimaglio, BRS

Meeting called to order at 1:30 pm

I. Introductions and Announcements:

- Renee Hatchell-Hancock is stepping down as Mental Health Co-Occurring Supervisor, effective September 20. Kelly now taking over as full-time Supervisor.
- Keith Urban, Yamhill County, was a no-show; he was scheduled to speak about the Yamhill County relationship to SMG..
- RFP for Marion County will be advertised for OHP, OR State general fund business, going to out-of-panel providers.

II. Data and Budget Management-Dean and Dwight

- Data Discussion by Dean Andretta: Dean explained the MPCHP budget and data report handouts. He reminded the group the New Year began 1 July.
- Discussion followed regarding 90853 code; Dean reminded group this is used for Medicare prime service.
- Questions from group about fee setting; Jim Russell said it should be DMAP + 10% and Dean said changes would occur at the beginning of the calendar year and won't be retroactive. Jim stated that the fee schedule was pulled directly from the contracts.
- Dwight explained handout (MC CD Cost and Utilization).
- Dwight noted the new form going forward will not have Clear Paths listed.
- also addressed the Admission and Discharge data pilot program. The pilot has ended and is going live on July 1, 2010, reminding members to continue (or begin) capturing the data.
- Cary noted that we are looking at ways to engage people without insurance or OHP coverage; thru Emergency Room visits? Asking members to consider options.

III. Data Implementation/Discussion – Dean & Scott

- Scott reviewed the Outcome Data from Admission/Discharge Form for Indigent and MPCHP. Details were provided to attendees in a handout.
- Discussion followed:

- 1. Dean asked if 53% was a good number for retention to successful completion. Gary thought it was a little low, we should shot for 60 to 70%.
- 2. Jim Russell commented that it isn't important when paperwork is being completed by the providers, the "real" last day of engagement of the client is the data we want to capture.
- 3. Dean asked "What is the outcome of treatment? Have we changed lives? Has the quality of life been improved?"
- 4. 93% of the time providers are meeting contract performance standards for engagement.
- 5. Dean asked if it would be appropriate to compensate providers for time required to fill out the form.
- 6. Dwight added that we are using three dates: initial contact, date of admit, and date of discharge.
- 7. Providers are using the first call received as the initial contact date.
- 8. Geoff would like "Date of Assessment" added to the form.
- 9. Scott added that 93% of graduates from program continue to receive some level of treatment.

IV. Clinical Re-Design/New Authorization Caps

- Considering getting rid of EBT and replacing with treatment packages
- Setting treatment package rates & monitoring. Polk County is only provider submitting EBT authorizations.
- ✤ 5-EBTs looked at in Treatment Packages.
 - Level 1 and Level 2 thresholds didn't seem to be limiting.
 - Do parallel systems for 6-months if eliminating EBTs.
 - Bridgeway may not have been entering info on EBTs. They are tracking on paper.
 - o Jim asked Geoff to explain how EBT authorization helps.
 - Geoff talked about "Seeking Safety"
 - Not to fidelity
 - No drug Court in Polk County
- Cary said other piece is chart reviews; what about doing 6-month crossover; and moving into Enhanced Treatment Packages (ETP).
 - How do we monitor \$ amount?
 - Dean said Yamhill is not using any authorization program at all.
- Change would be: CD claim would require an authorization in place.
- Cary asked Dean about \$ cap. Dean said that would require a lot of work. The provider would pick "ASAM Level 1". Dean also commented that there are a few clients getting a lot of services and getting big \$ amount services.
- ✤ Level 2 ends at Level 1 discharge.

Meeting adjourned at 3:00