Appendix E:  
City of Woodburn  
Addendum to the Marion County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan  
2011 Amendments and Update

The Oregon Partnership for Disaster Resilience prepared this Appendix to the City of Woodburn Addendum to the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan (Woodburn Addendum) as part of the 2010-11 update to the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Upon local adoption, the appendix will become part of the Woodburn Addendum and will ensure that the City of Woodburn maintains FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program eligibility as well as compliance with the Marion County NHMP.

This appendix is organized according to the sections outlined in the Woodburn Addendum. A description of each section is presented below with proposed changes and updates following each.

Section 1: Planning Process

The planning process section of the Woodburn Addendum describes the activities used by the steering committee and community to develop the plan. Updates to the Planning Process section are as follows:

On Page 4, Paragraph 1 of the “Adoption” subsection, delete the first sentence and insert the following language:

The city of Woodburn adopted the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan via resolution on Insert Date, Year.

The city of Woodburn adopted the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan via resolution on April 8, 2010.

On __________, 2011, Marion County adopted an update to the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The City of Woodburn subsequently adopted Appendix E of the City of Woodburn Addendum to the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan by resolution on __________, 2011. With said adoption, all changes outlined in Appendix E are incorporated into the City of Woodburn Addendum to the Marion County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan by reference.
Section 2: Community Profile

The community profile section of the Woodburn Addendum describes a variety of community characteristics specific to the City of Woodburn. Given the limited amount of time that has elapsed since the community profile was developed, no changes are required or proposed.

Section 3: Risk Assessment

The risk assessment section of the Woodburn Addendum describes the types, causes, characteristics and relative risk posed by natural hazards on the City of Woodburn. Based on new information compiled during the Marion County NHMP update process, updates to the Woodburn Addendum include the following:

On Page 26, first paragraph following “Vulnerability scores…”, delete sentences one and two and replace with the following paragraph:

Because Marion County’s Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan (NHMP) does not provide probability and vulnerability estimates, all references to Marion County’s probability and vulnerability rankings are referencing Marion County’s 2006 Hazard Analysis document (see Appendix A). When Marion County’s NHMP is updated in 2012, the county’s steering committee will incorporate probability and vulnerability ratings in the NHMP.

The referenced Marion County probability and vulnerability scores in each hazard annex are taken from the 2011 Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Marion County steering committee reviewed scores during the plan update process (NHMP 2010-11) and modified if the steering committee believed they did not accurately reflect Marion County’s current probability and vulnerability.

On Page 27, first full Paragraph within the “Drought” subsection, delete sentences 3 through 7, and replace with the following:

According to Marion County’s Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan, two major droughts have occurred in the past 33 years. The period between 1976 and 1977 was the single driest year of the century. Similarly, February 2005 was the driest February on record since 1977. Given the frequency of past events, Silverton estimates a high probability that droughts will occur in the future. (Note: Marion County does not estimate probability or vulnerability ratings for drought-related events. As such, Woodburn is unable to say whether its vulnerability and probability estimates are greater than the county’s.)

According to Marion County’s Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan, several major droughts have occurred in the last century. The period between 1976
and 1977 was the single driest year of the century. Similarly, February 2005 was the driest February on record since 1977. Given the frequency of past events, Woodburn, agreeing with Marion County, estimates a high probability and vulnerability to drought events.

On Page 45, Paragraph 3 of the “Flood” subsection, delete sentences one and two, and replace with the following paragraph:

Marion County estimates a high probability that flooding will occur in the future, and a moderate vulnerability to flood hazards. Both ratings are true for the city of Woodburn as well.

Marion County estimates a high probability that flooding will occur in the future. During the 2010-11 update, Marion County amended the county flood vulnerability rating from moderate to high. Woodburn concurs with the County flood probability assessment; the probability of flooding in Woodburn is high. With an estimate of moderate, Woodburn varies from the county in its assessment of flood vulnerability. The following explanation and findings support the variation between the city and county vulnerability assessments.

On Page 45, Paragraph 1 of the “Landslide” subsection, delete sentence two and replace with the following:

Currently, there is no comprehensive list of landslide events and/or dates for Marion County, and the same is true for the city of Woodburn.

The Marion County NHMP lists a brief history of landslides in the count; there is no comprehensive list of landslide events for Woodburn and there limited evidence of past landslide activity.

On Page 45, Paragraph 3 of the “Landslide” subsection, delete sentence one and replace with the following:

Marion County does not estimate probability or vulnerability ratings for landslide hazards.

The Marion County NHMP estimates the probability and vulnerability to landslide as high and moderate respectively.

On Page 49, Paragraph 3 of the “Wildfire” subsection, add the following second sentences of the paragraph:

With an estimate of low, Silverton varies from the county in its assessment of wildfire probability. The following explanation and findings support the variation between the city and county vulnerability assessments.